

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/765,896	MATSUMOTO, TORU	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Maureen M. Wallenhorst	1743	

All Participants:	Status of Application: _____
--------------------------	-------------------------------------

(1) Maureen M. Wallenhorst (3) _____.

(2) Roland Long (appl. Rep.) (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 August 2006 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's Representative indicated that no response to the Office action mailed on February 27, 2006 was filed, and therefore, the application is to go abandoned.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)